

Boeing Defense, Space & Security Phantom Works

Strategic Development and Experimentation

Integrating Excel, R, and ACEIT for a Comprehensive Analysis Package

Karen Mourikas SD&E - Experimentation Denise Nelson BR&T – Affordability January 2011

Overview of Project

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

Objective

- Estimate the costs of simulation-based experimentation
- Develop a standard process and toolkit
- Be accurate, consistent, easy-to-use, and value-added
- Customer Constraints
 - Use standard cost estimating tools
 - Incorporate standard processes
 - Base on historical data
- Our recommendations
 - Develop toolkit using ACEIT suite of tools as backbone
 - Incorporate other analytical tools as needed



Co\$t-X Process



Co\$t-X User Interface

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works



When "Calculate Results" button is selected

- Random Forest model is executed via call to R statistical package
 - Returns preliminary costs estimate, prediction intervals, and quantiles



- Visual Basic macros transfers data to/from ACE model
 - Formats the data for ACE ("Create_ACE_Import_Data")
 - Opens the ACE application
 - Loads the indicated ACE model
 - Transfers required data to the ACE model ("Export_to_ACE AceSession")
- ACE model is executed (via Visual Basic macro)
 - ACE performs additional calculations and modifications
 - Cost Risk/Uncertainty Analysis is performed
 - Results passed back into Excel ("Get_ACE_results")
- Summary page populated with data
 - Options to print / view additional reports





R Excel Interface Call to R Random Forest Model

Boeing Defe	ense, Space	& Securi	ty Phar	ntom Works	i ta s							
					li a	R Cons	ole	- UADa da u	u tiska			_
						-ile Edit M	Alisc Packages	s Window	/s Help			
1						Copyright SBN 3-900	(C) 2009 T 0051-07-0	The R Fou	undation	for Statis	stical Comp	uting T
Observation:								ouo in	puto fr	om Erc	nt End	
EVC	Num.Systems	Num.MOE	Num.Exp.o	Funding.Source	Ext.Cust.Ir	Environme	nt vall		iputs ii			
	15		2	IRAD	у	ι.	feed	Rand	dom Fo	orest M	lodel	
)										
% Intervals:	nul	70	S	pecify Pre	diction	Interv	al					
Probabil es:	J											
0	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.1	0.12		0.46	0.40		0.22	0.24
Snacify slow or	fast quantilo a	laorithm:			fact		Proba	bilities	s for w	hich		
Note: slow means	100 forests (m	ore accurat	e)		1031		quanti	iles wi	ll be re	eturned		
fast means	1 forest	2										
											• •	
OUTP	0/2			Raw esti	mate (i	mean)	from					
Pred ti h				Random	Forest	Mode						
Estim d Ars	(11257.56	$\mathbf{>}$	Random	1 01030	ivioue						
Prediction Interv	als:											
Lower		6203.2	Low	er & Uppe	r Predi	ction Ir	nterval					
Upper		13304.78	Base	ad on Perc	ontila	solocte	d					
			Dast			Selecte	u					
Quantiles							Quantil		coolot	od with	abovo	
333.1163194	1308.370475	1486.597	2467.541	2495.363037	3084.802	4223.0	Quantil		SUCIAL		above	248.442
							Probab	olities;	Repre	esents	CDF	6

ACE Import Data Sheet used to transfer data via macros (1 of 2)



ACE Import Data Sheet (2 of 2)



ACE Model accepts imported data from Excel

- ACE model updated to accept input from Excel via two columns of data
 - Excel Transfer ID Column
 - Contains ID strings to identify data in Excel model
 - EXCEL_TNC (!) Total (Non-Cost) Column
 - Receives values generated in Excel and makes available to the ACE model

Configu	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n.13-34 / / / /	Arial	• [M	ethodology *
Co	WBS/CES Description	Equation / Throughput	Point Estimate	EXCEL_TNC (!) Total (Non-Cost)	Excel Transfer ID
292	Labor Rate 3		0.000	370	Labor Rt 3
293	Labor Site 3		0.000 *	00_0000	capor_Site_3
294	Labor Site Percentage3		0.000 *	0.3	Labor_Site_Perc_3
295	Labor Rate 4		0.000 *	210	Labor_Rt_4
296	Labor Site 4		0.000 *	VA_Labor	Labor_Site_4
297	Labor Site Percentage4		0.000 *	0.1	Labor_Site_Perc_4
298					
299	Scope Data		0.000 *		
300	 Type of Project 			Constructive Analysis	Proj_Type
301	LVC Category		0.000 *	CV.	EVC_Type

ACE Excel linkages

- Excel's "ACE Import Data" worksheet talks to ACE model
 - Excel!'ACE Import Data'!'Link ID' column must be identical to the "Excel Transfer ID" column in the ACE model

83	* A		n	EEG	H H
			C	ost Dat	a Cost or Non-cost
WB SA	CES Description	Link ID	ColA	FisU	Total
Labor	Data	5		3	
1 Lab	or Rate 1	Labor Rt 1		7777	\$100.00
2 Lab	or Site 1	Labor Site 1	1 T		BRT Labor
3 Lab	or Site Percentage1	Labor Site Perc 1			505
Lab	or Rate 2	Labor Rt 2	377	1	\$150.00
Lab	or Gite 2	Labor Gite 2	2	3	WA Labor
5 Lab	or Site Percentage2	Labor Site Perc 2	1	1	15!
Lab	or Rate 3	Labor Rt 3			\$370.00
Lab	or Site 3	Labor_Site_3	1	3	CO_Labor
Lab	or Site Percentage3	Labor_Site_Perc_3	E T		30
Lab	or Rate 4	Labor Rt 4			\$1.0
Lab	or Site 4	Labor_Site_4	()	3	User_Labor
Lab	or Site Percentage4	abor_Site_Perc_4	1 . L		55
6	······································		5 T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

*Configur	aton f • <u>A B P</u>			
	WBS/CES Description	Point Estimate	EXCEL_TNC (f) Total (Non-Cost)	Excel Transfer ID
285	Labor Data	0 000 *		
285	Labor Rate 1	0 000 *	00	Labor_Rt
287	Labor Ste 1	0.000 *	BRT_L por	Labor_Site
288	Labor Site Percentage1	0.000 *	0.5	Labor_Site_Petc
209	Labor Rate 2	0.000.*	158	Labor Rt
290	Labor Site 2	0 000 *	WA Libor	Labor_Site
291	Labor Site Percentage2	0.000 *	0.1	Labor_Site_Perc
292	Labor Rate 3	0.000 *	570	Labor Rt
293	Labor Site 3	0.000 *	CO L ber	Labor_Site
294	Labor Site Percentage3	0.000 *	3	Labor_Site_Perc
295	Labor Rate 4	0.000 *	2 7	Labor Rt
296	Labor Site 4	0.000.*	VA Labor	Labor Site
297	Labor Site Percentage4	0 000 *	0.1	Labor Site Perc
•				ie verbereitener

Processing within ACE model

- Data input to ACE model
 - Random Forest estimate and prediction data
 - Probabilities and Quantile data (CDF) translated as multipliers
 - All Input parameters, excluding identification text fields
 - Categorical (text) data transferred into numerical values
- Parametric adjustments to raw estimate
 - Random Forest model calibration
 - Regression adjustments based on additional parameters
 - Final estimate
- Risk calculations
 - Custom Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)
 - 1000 iterations
 - Risk defined for Random Forest estimate
 - Applies to final estimate

Data transferred into ACE model

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

🖉 Co	stX Model 0y (BY2010\$K)								
	WBS/CES Description		Unique II	D EXCEL_TNC (! (Non-Cos) Total t) E	Excel Transfer ID			
445	Identification								
448	Start Date (M ID data						EXCEL_TNC (I) Total		
449	End Date (MNTTT)			WBS/CES Des	cription	Unique ID		Excel Transfer ID	Approp
450			475	Project Starting Poir	Unic	que IDs sta	art with "XL"	Ref_Proj	j
451	Labor Data		476		to id	ontify as r	assod in via	Evcol	
452	Labor Rate 1		477	ReUse Factors		entity as p			
453	Labor Site 1 Labor da	ate	478	Modeling		XL Model Re	eF B	Model_ReF	-
454	Labor Site F		479	Tool Developme	Douc	o / Loornin	C	 Tool_ReF	
455	Labor Rate 2		480	Tool Integration	reus		В	Int ReF	
456	Labor Site 2	XL	481	Environment		XL_Env_Re	F B	Env_ReF	
457	Labor Site Percentage2	Labo	482	Programmatics		XL_PM_Re	PF B	PM_ReF	
458	Labor Rate 3		483	Data Collection		XL Data Re	F B	Data ReF	
459	Labor Site 3	XL	484	Analysis Results		XL Analysis Re	F B	Analysis ReF	
460	Labor Site Percentage3	Labo	485	* Team Factors					
461	Labor Rate 4		486	Individual Experie	nce	XL Exp Re	eF C	Exp ReF	
462	Labor Site 4	L XL	487	Team Dynamics		XL Team Re	F B	Team ReF	
463	Labor Site Percentage4	Labo	488		Г		/		
464	0.0.		489	* Results from Rand	om Fores	RF Estima	ate/Prediction	data	
465		da	490	RF Estimated Hours	:	XL RF H	rs 5228.09051707939	RF Hrs	;
466	Type of Projec		491	RF Hrs Prediction In	terval Low	XL RF Hrs P	IL 1197.63633885797	RF Hrs PIL	_
467	LVC Category		492	RF Hrs Prediction In	terval High	XL RF Hrs P	IH 10268.9914094023	RF Hrs PIH	1
468	Number of MOEs		493.4						-
469	Number of Sites During Sugar								
470	Number of Sites During Execut			tes_N		Del Eve M			12
471	Number of Prior Events		IL_Rel_E	xp_N	2	Rei_⊏xp_N			

Estimate manipulations

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Equation / Throughput	Point Estimate		
* RF Calculate / Pre Adjusted	\square		Translated t	o \$ per site	
*Project Estimate in \$				• • •	
Proj Est \$ Total	Proj_Total_\$		916,360. (53%) *		
Proj Est \$ Site 1	Site1_\$	Hrs_Site1*Labor_Rate_1	365,326.20 (53%) *		
Proj Est \$ Site 2	Site2_\$	Hrs_Site2*Labor_Rate_2	140,042. (53%) *		
Proj Est \$ Site 3	Site3_\$	Hrs_Site3*Labor_Rate_3	340,971. (53%) *		
Proj Est \$ Site 4	Site4_\$	Hrs_Site4*Labor_Rate_4	70,021. (53%) *		
*Project Ectimate in Hrs: Calcula					
Proj Est Hrs Total	Proj_Total_Hrs	XL_RF_Hrs.EXCEL_TNC	6,089. (53%) *		
Site Point Estimate in Hrs	Site_Total_Hrs	R	andom Forest	t Estimate – in ho	ours
Proj Est Hr Site 1	Hrs_Site1	Proj_Total_Hrs*Labor_Percent_1	1,827. (53%) *		
Proj Est Hr Site 2	Hrs_Site2	Proj_Total_Hrs*Labor_Percent_2	1,218. (53%) *		
Proj Est Hr Site 3	Hrs_Site3	Proj_Total_Hrs*Labor_Percent_3	2,436. (53%) *		
Proj Est Hr Site 4	Hrs_Site4	Proj_Total_Hrs*Labor_Percent_4	609. (53%) *		
		Hours alllocated to s	ites		

 Raw estimate from Random Forest (in hours) to be adjusted in ACE model incorporating additional parameters from CostX Front End

C

Model Calibration & Adjustments

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

- Random Forest model calibrated based on residual values
- Additional parametric equations applied to Random Forest raw estimate
 - Utilizing additional parameters from front end
 - Adjustments determined via regression and analysis

	WBS/0	CES Description	Unic	que ID	Equation / Throughput		Poin		ite .	
*Ccalib	ration Adju	stment								
*Genera	llv ovoractir	natae low coet , undaraetimat								_
*Linear #	Linear I WBS/CES Description Unic		ique ID	Equation / Throughput			Point Estimate			
*Do.not	*Num Site /	Adjustment								
Domoc	*Adjust if mo	ore than 1 site involved								
Regress	* Based on I	Linear Regression of Hrs (Y) per l	Num							
Regress	Num Site R	araccian Coofficient A	Qita	Dogr Cooff A			01/3 G		⊃1 <i>11</i> *	
Calibrati	Num Site R	WBS/CES Descript	tion	Unique	ID	Equation / Throughpu	ıt		Point E	stimate
	Num Site =	* Enhancement of Previous Effort	•							
		Upgrade of Previous effort			Upa I	XL SP U	Jpa.EX(CEL TNC		0. *
	Num Sites A	Upgrade Reduction %				Upg Pct If(Cont NoDB		0.1,25)		-0.25 *
	Num Site A	Upgrade Adj Estimate		Up	og_Adj_Est	BU_Supt_Adj_Est * (1+	- +Upg_P	ct*Upg_l)	3,962	2. (61%) *
	Num Site M	ax Adjustment		Site_Adj_Max		Site_Adj_Amt+CommEffects_A	dj_Est	4,403.	(61%) *	=
	Num Site A	djusted Estimate		Site_Adj_Est	lf (Site_Adj_Amt- CommEffects_Adj_Es CommEffects Adi Est+Site Adi A	st > 0, Amt/2.	4,403.	(61%) *	14

Final Adjusted Point Estimate

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Equation / Throughput	Point Estimate	
*Final Adjusted Total Hours				
Final Point Estimate Hours	Final_PE_Hrs	AOA_Adj_Est	3,425. (53%)	
PE Site Estimates Hrs			3,425. (53%)	
Proj Est Hr Site 1	Adj_Hrs_Site1	Final_PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_1	1,027. (53% <u>) 1</u>	
Proj Est Hr Site 2	Adj_Hrs_Site2	Final_PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_2	685. (53%	Hours allocated to sites
Proj Est Hr Site 3	Adj_Hrs_Site3	Final_PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_3	1,370. (53%,	
Proj Est Hr Site 4	Adj_Hrs_Site4	Final_PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_4	342. (53%)	
* Final Adjusted Total \$				
Proj Est \$ Total	Final_PE_\$		515,452. (53%)	
Proj Est \$ Site 1	Adj_Site1_\$	Adj_Hrs_Site1*Labor_Rate_1	205,495.99 (53%)	— — — — — — — — — —
Proj Est \$ Site 2	Adj_Site2_\$	Adj_Hrs_Site2*Labor_Rate_2	78,773. (53%)	I ranslated to \$ per site
Proj Est \$ Site 3	Adj_Site3_\$	Adj_Hrs_Site3*Labor_Rate_3	191,796. (53%)	
Proj Est \$ Site 4	Adj_Site4_\$	Adj_Hrs_Site4*Labor_Rate_4	39,387. (53%) 1	

Final Point Estimate derived from raw estimate from Random Forest

Custom Cumulative Distribution Function from Random Forest (CDF)

- Random Forest returns Estimated Hrs
 - Median value
 - Average prediction from collection of trees
 - As a "Raw Estimate"
- Quantiles = estimates associated with the Probability Distribution
 - Output from R Random Forest model
- Multiplier used in ACE Custom CDF definition
 - Quantile / Estimated Hrs
 - Multiplier of 1 refers to the Raw estimate
- RQuantiles = name of CDF for ACE model





Custom Cumulative Distribution Function in ACE



ACE Custom Cumulative Distribution Function



Cost Risk Applied to Point Estimate

WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Point Es	stimate	E (Distributi on Form	PE Position in Distribution	CDF Keyword	Random Seed	
*Project Estimate in Hrs: Calculated from RF			\frown						
Proj Est Hrs Total	Proj_Total_Hrs	2,649	(55%)*		CDF	Undefined	RQuantiles	1687442	
	CDF applied	d to Ra	w estin	nate					

WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Equation /	Point Estimate	
*Final Adjusted Total Hours				
Final Point Estimate Hours	Final_PE_Hrs	Final Estimate	AOA_Adj_Est	1,950 (55%)
PE Site Estimates Hrs				1,950. (55%) *
Proj Est Hr Site 1	Adj_Hrs_Site1	Final_	PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_1	97. (55%) *
Proj Est Hr Site 2	Adi Hre Site?	Final	PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_2	487. (55%) *
Proj Est Hr Site 3	Final Estimate	e allocated to sites	PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_3	1,267. (55%) *
Proj Est Hr Site 4	Adj_Hrs_Site4	Final_	PE_Hrs*Labor_Percent_4	97. (55%) *

Specify Cost Risk Percentile

* Risk Calculations										
* Risk Quantiles Three levels for flexibil	s of risk ity	Са	an b	e easily chanç	ged					
Low Risk Percentile		RiskConf_	Low	50. *		5				
Middle Risk Percentile		RiskConf_	RiskConf_Mid		F		70			
High Risk Percentile		RiskConf_I	High	85. *		8				
WBS/CES Description *Final Adjusted Total Hours Final Point Estimate Hours	Unic Fi	que ID nal_PE_Hrs	Po	int Estimate						
RiskConf_PE			Risł	(Conf(@Final Pl	E Hrs, Fina	al PE Hrs,1)		55. *)	
*Final Adjusted RA Hours										
Final RA Low Hours	Final_R/	4_Low_Hrs		RiskCost(@Fina	al_PE_Hrs,R	iskConf_Low)	50%	1,853. *		
Final RA MidHours	Final_R.	A_Mid_Hrs		RiskCost(@Fina	al_PE_Hrs,R	iskConf_Mid)	70%	2,409. *		
Final RA HighHours	Final_	RA_Hi_Hrs		RiskCost(@Fina	I_PE_Hrs,Ri	skConf_High)	85%	3,285. *		

Co\$t-X Summary Results

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

	COST- EXPERIMENTATION
Show ACE	Fit To Screen
Project Name: ACEIT Conference 2011 Point of Contact: Mourikas, Karen Period of Performance: Jan. 2010 to May. 2010	Project Results 400.0 30.0 400.0
Summary Results Project Total Confiden ce Level Hours Cost SSA Geo P 1 57 896.5 \$ 134,928	300.0 250.0 200.0 150.0 100.0 50.0 100
Site Breakdown × Allctd. Hours Cost 1 BR&T 30% 269.0 \$ 53,792 2 Texas 20% 179.3 \$ 20,620 3 California 40% 358.6 \$ 50,206 4 New Merrice 10% 97.7 \$ 10.200	BR&T Texas California New Mexico Hours Cost BB&T Texas California New Mexico
4 New Mexico 10% 03.7 \$ 10,310	(70% chance of staying within budget)
Summary Report Print Options To Printer Print CostX To Powerpoint Summary Report Detailed ACEIT Reports Report 1 Report 2 Yiew ACEIT Reports Report 3 Print ACEIT Reports Print ACEIT Reports Print ACEIT Reports	1002 199 Cost \$K Risk Adjusted Hours 602 135 Cost \$K 1,320 402 135 Point Estimate Risk Adjusted \$ 202 100 200 300 400 500 600 700

Results returned from ACE to Excel

Boeing Defense, Space & Security | Phantom Works

Values from ACE placed in corresponding columns in Excel

- Excel's column A contains the "Unique ID" names from ACE
 - i.e. "ProjEst_HRs"
- The "Point Estimate" column in ACE contains the value associated with the Unique ID and passed to column B in excel

🔀 Microsoft Excel - Cost X Front 🕕 🗔 🗔	×				
Eile Edit View Insert Format Tools Data Window Help Design Sheet Adobe PDF		ACE 7.2 - [CostX Model 02.aceit - Methodology	(BY20095K)]		ve . D 🛛
I 🖬 🖻 🕾 - 🟈 💪 🛓 🔍 🔍 📲 I 🤊	E D	Die Edit View Documentation Galt Cases i Die Edit View Documentation Galt Cases i Die Edit View Documentation Galt Cases i	Reports Tools Window	Help	lology
A35 • 📌 ProjEst_HRs	8	Configuration F CostX Hodel 02logy (BY2009\$K)			. ×
32 33 34 ACE Results Needed Value		WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Equation / Throughput	Point Estimate
35 ProjEst HRs He.577500 X ProjEst_\$ 97	0 80 84	111 112 Project Estimate in HRs 113 'Project Estimate in S	ProjEst_HRs ProjEst_S	BL Est_HRs*RU_F ProjEst_HRs*Proj_RT	412.578 *
H + H CostX Results A .	1 1	114 446			~
Excel Sheet	23 7 16	Methodology (What if (read only) (Diter Base (Outer	ACE Model		
					22

Labor Rates Lookup Tables

- Look up tables contain labor rates per year
 - MatVal(@Hrly_RatesM, Labor_Site_1, Labor_Rate_Col)
 - Project Start Year determines which labor rate to use

WBS/CES Description		Unique ID	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
*Rates Per Site Inflated by 4% from 2009			2009	2010	2011			
Hourly_Rates	s Matrix	Hrly_RatesM						
Alabama			100.0	104.0	108.2			
Arizona			110.0	114.4	119.0			
BR&T			120 0	124 8	129.8			
California	🚽 🥂 🏂 者 DATEYR (S	ST DT)-2008						
Colorado stX Model 02ogv (BY20105K)								
Kansas	, (, ,	Start Date						
New Mexico	WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Equation / Throughput		Poi	int Estimate		
Philly					_			
St Louis	First Year of Rates in DB	FIRST_YF	{	2008			2,008. *	
Texas	Project Start Year Column in DB	Start <u>Yr Co</u>	Col DATEYR(ST_DT)-2008			2. *		
Virginia	Labor Rate Column in DB 🛛 🧲	Labor_Rate_Co	lf(Start_Yr_Col > 3, 3,			2. *		
Washington					Start_Yr_	Col)		
UserDefined			220.0	228.8	238.0			

ReUse Lookup Tables

WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
* ReUse Factor Matrix Headers		Α	В	C	D	E	
Reuse Factors Matrix	FactorM					_	
Modeling Fail		0.25	0.5	1	Reu	ise data	a determines
Tool Development		0.8	1	1.5	cost	eovin	ne/nonaltice
Tool Integration		0.5	0.8	1	005	. saving	ys/penallies
Environm	1	0.3	0.7	1	\rightarrow	$A \sim Co$	st Savings
Program AS 5 5		0.5	0.7	1		- 0	
Data Collection		0.6	0.8	1	\rightarrow	$E \sim Co$	st Penalties
Analysis Results		0.75	0.85	1	· ·		I
Team Experience (individual)		0.8	0.9	1	1.25	1.4	
Team Dynamics		0.7	0.85	1	1.25	1.4	

				40	ľ
WBS/CES Description	EX0 Tota	EL_T	NCC	<u>5</u> 0	
ReUse Factors		<u> </u>			*N
Modeling 📊 🖌 🧲 💆	5		COD		*F
Top-Deni went		10	B		Me
T gration			С		То
Environment V 🧭			В		То
Programmatics			С		Er
Data Collection			С		Pr
Analysis Results			С		Da

WBS/CES Description	Unique ID	Equation / Throughput	Point Estimate
*Matrix Lookups and Functions			
*Factor Lookup			
Modeling	F	MatVal(@FactorM, 1, Mod_RU)	1.25 *
Tool Development	Tool	MatVal(@FactorM, 2, Tool_RU)	1.00 *
Tool Integration		Mat∀al(@FactorM, 3, Int_RU)	1.00 *
Environment	Env_F	Mat∀al(@FactorM, 4, Env_RU)	0.70 *
Programmatics 🔽 💋 🥝	Prog_F	MatVal(@FactorM, 5, Prog_RU)	1.00 *
Data Collection	Data_F	MatVal(@FactorM, 6, Data_RU)	1.00 *
Analysis Results	Ana_F	MatVal(@FactorM, 7, Ana_RU)	1.00 *

Next Steps for 2011

- Data Collection/Analysis
 - Collect new data (on-going)
 - Automatic database update (in-work)
 - Analyze additional data (on-going)
- Model
 - Investigate ACEIT 7.2 features
 - Cat(), RLookup(), Random Number generator from ACEIT
 - Create additional reports (in-work)
 - Cost Risk Assessment Report
 - Detailed Baseline Report